IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G. BIKSHAPATHY

And

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE P.S.NARAYANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 8233 of 2004

Between:

S. Siva Narayana Reddy S/o. Sambasiva Reddy,
R/O. Plot No. 29, Vidyut Nagar, Anantapuram, Anantapuram District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Government of A.P. General Administration (SPF-A) Department,
represented by its Secretary, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The A.P. Public Service Commission, Gruhakalpa, Hyderabad-500001,
represented by its Secretary.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, or Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to operate roaster point from roaster point No.65 so far as Local Candidate is concerned and Roaster Point No. 69 so far as Non-local candidate is concerned and fill up the posts further in the manner provided under Rule-22 of A.P. State & Sub-ordinate Service Rules besides declaring that the impugned action of the 2nd respondent in not following the roaster system envisaged under rule above referred and incorporating the posts already fill up in the present process of recruitment as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article-14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and set aside the common order passed in O.A.No. 1204, 1290, 2204 of 2004 by the Hon'ble Tribunal in so far as O.A.No.2204/2004 dated 6-

4-2004 and pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.A.SATYA PRASAD

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: G.P. FOR SERVICES-I.

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: MR.M.VIJAYA KUMAR.

The Court made the following:

ORAL ORDER: (per GB.J.)

It is brought to the notice of this Court by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the O.A. itself was dismissed by A.P. Administrative Tribunal and in view of this the Writ Petition has become infructuous.

2. Recording the above submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

G.BIKSHAPATHY, J.

2nd February, 2005.

---------- P.S.NARAYANA, J.

SSR

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Secretary, Government of A.P. General Administration (SPF-A) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Secretary, A.P. Public Service Commission, Gruhakalpa,

Hyderabad-500001.
3 The Registrar, A.P. Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad.

4 2 CCs to G.P. for Services-I, High Court of A.P. Hyderabad. (OUT)

5 2 CD copies

_